San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 431-5772 Attorneys for Chirine Marie Zahar, Respondent UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SITTING AS A TREATY TRIBUNAL UNDER ARTICLE III SECTION 2 OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION CONCURRENT JURISDICTION CONFERRED BY 42 U.S.C. 11601 ET SEQ. KLAUS DIETER HAIDACHER Petitioner, vs. CHIRINE MARIE ZAHAR, Respondent. Case No. C 13-02497 EDL (MEJ) STIPULATION TO AMEND STIPULATION AND ORDER OF JULY 3, 2013 RE SETTLEMENT The Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, done at the Hague on 25 Oct. 1980 [The Convention] International Child Abduction Remedies Act 42 U.S.C. 11601 et seq.	1	THORN•SEYMOUR•MEHMET Brent D. Seymour (SBN 148737) 1242 Market Street, 5 th Floor		
4 Attorneys for Chirine Marie Zahar, Respondent 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SITTING AS A TREATY TRIBUNAL UNDER ARTICLE III SECTION 2 OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION CONCURRENT JURISDICTION CONFERRED BY 42 U.S.C. 11601 ET SEQ. 13 KLAUS DIETER HAIDACHER Petitioner, vs. Case No. C 13-02497 EDL (MEJ) STIPULATION TO AMEND STIPULATION AND ORDER OF JULY 3, 2013 RE SETTLEMENT The Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, done at the Hague on 25 Oct. 1980 [The Convention] International Child Abduction Remedies Act 42 U.S.C. 11601 et seq.	2	1242 Market Street, 5 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SITTING AS A TREATY TRIBUNAL UNDER ARTICLE III SECTION 2 OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION CONCURRENT JURISDICTION CONFERRED BY 42 U.S.C. 11601 ET SEQ. KLAUS DIETER HAIDACHER Petitioner, vs. CHIRINE MARIE ZAHAR, Respondent. The Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, done at the Hague on 25 Oct. 1980 [The Convention] International Child Abduction Remedies Act 42 U.S.C. 11601 et seq.	3	(415) 431-5772		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SITTING AS A TREATY TRIBUNAL UNDER ARTICLE III SECTION 2 OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION CONCURRENT JURISDICTION CONFERRED BY 42 U.S.C. 11601 ET SEQ. KLAUS DIETER HAIDACHER Petitioner, vs. CHIRINE MARIE ZAHAR, Respondent. The Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, done at the Hague on 25 Oct. 1980 [The Convention] International Child Abduction Remedies Act 42 U.S.C. 11601 et seq.	4	Attorneys for Chirine Marie Zahar, Respondent		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA IN SITTING AS A TREATY TRIBUNAL UNDER ARTICLE III SECTION 2 OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION CONCURRENT JURISDICTION CONFERRED BY 42 U.S.C. 11601 ET SEQ. KLAUS DIETER HAIDACHER Petitioner, vs. CHIRINE MARIE ZAHAR, Respondent. The Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, done at the Hague on 25 Oct. 1980 [The Convention] International Child Abduction Remedies Act 42 U.S.C. 11601 et seq.	5			
NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SITTING AS A TREATY TRIBUNAL UNDER ARTICLE III SECTION 2 OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION CONCURRENT JURISDICTION CONFERRED BY 42 U.S.C. 11601 ET SEQ. KLAUS DIETER HAIDACHER Petitioner, vs. CHIRINE MARIE ZAHAR, Respondent. The Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, done at the Hague on 25 Oct. 1980 [The Convention] International Child Abduction Remedies Act 42 U.S.C. 11601 et seq.	6			
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SITTING AS A TREATY TRIBUNAL UNDER ARTICLE III SECTION 2 OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION CONCURRENT JURISDICTION CONFERRED BY 42 U.S.C. 11601 ET SEQ. KLAUS DIETER HAIDACHER Petitioner, vs. CHIRINE MARIE ZAHAR, Respondent. The Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, done at the Hague on 25 Oct. 1980 [The Convention] International Child Abduction Remedies Act 42 U.S.C. 11601 et seq.	7			
SITTING AS A TREATY TRIBUNAL UNDER ARTICLE III SECTION 2 OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION CONCURRENT JURISDICTION CONFERRED BY 42 U.S.C. 11601 ET SEQ. KLAUS DIETER HAIDACHER Petitioner, Vs. CHIRINE MARIE ZAHAR, Respondent. The Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, done at the Hague on 25 Oct. 1980 [The Convention] International Child Abduction Remedies Act 42 U.S.C. 11601 et seq.	8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
SITTING AS A TREATY TRIBUNAL UNDER ARTICLE III SECTION 2 OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION CONCURRENT JURISDICTION CONFERRED BY 42 U.S.C. 11601 ET SEQ. KLAUS DIETER HAIDACHER Petitioner, vs. CHIRINE MARIE ZAHAR, Respondent. The Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, done at the Hague on 25 Oct. 1980 [The Convention] International Child Abduction Remedies Act 42 U.S.C. 11601 et seq.	9	NORTHERN DISTRI	ICT OF CALIFORNIA	
ARTICLE III SECTION 2 OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION CONCURRENT JURISDICTION CONFERRED BY 42 U.S.C. 11601 ET SEQ. KLAUS DIETER HAIDACHER Petitioner, vs. CHIRINE MARIE ZAHAR, Respondent. The Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, done at the Hague on 25 Oct. 1980 [The Convention] International Child Abduction Remedies Act 42 U.S.C. 11601 et seq.	10		EV TRIBINIAL INDER	
12 13 KLAUS DIETER HAIDACHER Petitioner, vs. CHIRINE MARIE ZAHAR, Respondent. The Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, done at the Hague on 25 Oct. 1980 [The Convention] International Child Abduction Remedies Act 42 U.S.C. 11601 et seq.	11	ARTICLE III SECTION 2 OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION		
RELAUS DIETER HAIDACHER Petitioner, vs. CHIRINE MARIE ZAHAR, Respondent. The Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, done at the Hague on 25 Oct. 1980 [The Convention] International Child Abduction Remedies Act 42 U.S.C. 11601 et seq.	12			
Petitioner, vs. CHIRINE MARIE ZAHAR, Respondent. The Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, done at the Hague on 25 Oct. 1980 [The Convention] International Child Abduction Remedies Act 42 U.S.C. 11601 et seq.	13	KLAUS DIETER HAIDACHER	Case No. C 13-02497 EDL (MEJ)	
15 vs. CHIRINE MARIE ZAHAR, Respondent. The Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, done at the Hague on 25 Oct. 1980 [The Convention] International Child Abduction Remedies Act 42 U.S.C. 11601 et seq.	14			
CHIRINE MARIE ZAHAR, Respondent. The Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, done at the Hague on 25 Oct. 1980 [The Convention] International Child Abduction Remedies Act 42 U.S.C. 11601 et seq.	15		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Respondent. The Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, done at the Hague on 25 Oct. 1980 [The Convention] International Child Abduction Remedies Act 42 U.S.C. 11601 et seq.	16			
The Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, done at the Hague on 25 Oct. 1980 [The Convention] International Child Abduction Remedies Act 42 U.S.C. 11601 et seq.	17	,		
of International Child Abduction, done at the Hague on 25 Oct. 1980 [The Convention] International Child Abduction Remedies Act 42 U.S.C. 11601 et seq.	18			
done at the Hague on 25 Oct. 1980 [The Convention] International Child Abduction Remedies Act 42 U.S.C. 11601 et seq.	19	The Convention on the Civil Aspects		
42 U.S.C. 11601 et seq.	20			
1	21			
	22			
1. The parties, petitioner, Klaus Dieter Haidacher, (hereinafter "Klaus") and respondent,				
Chirine Marie Zahar, (hereinafter "Chirine") hereby do agree and stipulate to the following amendment	24			
to the Stipulation and Order of July 3, 2013 (hereinafter "Stipulation and Order"). This amendment is				
26	26			
Stipulation to Amend Stipulation and Order		Stipulation to Amend Stipulation and Order		

l	necessary to correct the Stipulation and Order to conform with the agreement of the parties as read into
2	the record on July 1, 2013. This amendment shall become an enforceable order of both the United
3	States District Court, Northern District of California and Bezirksgericht Baden (hereinafter "Baden
4	District Court").
5	Paragraph 9 of the Stipulation and Order, previously stated:
6	9. Spousal Support: Klaus shall pay Chirine as and for modifiable spousal support in the
7	amount of 500 euros per month for one year through June 30 th , 2014. No court shall have
8	jurisdictions to order spousal support payments after July 1, 2014.
9	The parties now AGREE and STIPULATE to amend Paragraph 9 to remove the termination of
10	jurisdiction in the second sentence. Paragraph 9 of the Stipulation and Order shall now state:
11	9. Spousal Support: Klaus shall pay Chirine as and for modifiable spousal support in the
12	amount of 500 euros per month for one year through June 30 th , 2014.
13	In all other respects, the Stipulation and Order is affirmed.
14	2. Since the children have now returned to Austria, the parties FURTHER STIPULATE and
15	REQUEST that pursuant to the terms of their Stipulation and Order, the case now be dismissed and all
16	pending briefing and trial dates be vacated.
17	
18	Dated: July 31, 2013 Kirino Jihan
19	Chirine Marie Zahar, Respondent
20	
21	Dated: July 2012
22	Dated: July, 2013 Klaus Dieter Haidacher, Petitioner
23	
24	Dated: July 31, 2013 Sunton
25	Brent Seymour, Attorney for Respondent
26	,

1	necessary to correct the Stipulation and Order to conform with the agreement of the parties as read into		
2	the record on July 1, 2013. This amendment shall become an enforceable order of both the United		
3	States District Court, Northern District of California and Bezirksgericht Baden (hereinafter "Baden		
4	District Court").		
5	Paragraph 9 of the Stipulation and Order, previously stated:		
6	9. Spousal Support: Klaus shall pay Chirine as and for modifiable spousal support in the		
7	amount of 500 curos per month for one year through June 30th, 2014. No court shall have		
8	jurisdictions to order spousal support payments after July 1, 2014.		
9	The parties now AGREE and STIPULATE to amend Paragraph 9 to remove the termination of		
10	jurisdiction in the second sentence. Paragraph 9 of the Stipulation and Order shall now state:		
11	9. Spousal Support: Klaus shall pay Chirine as and for modifiable spousal support in the		
12	amount of 500 curos per month for one year through June 30th, 2014.		
13	In all other respects, the Stipulation and Order is affirmed.		
14	2. Since the children have now returned to Austria, the parties FURTHER STIPULATE and		
15	REQUEST that pursuant to the terms of their Stipulation and Order, the case now be dismissed and all		
16	pending briefing and trial dates be vacated.		
17			
18			
19	Dated: July, 2013 Chirine Marie Zahar, Respondent		
20			
21	Allillik		
22	Dated: July 27, 2013 Klaus Dieter Haidacher, Petitioner		
23			
24	Dated: July, 2013		
25	Brent Seymour, Attorney for Respondent		
26			
- 1	Stimulation to Amend Stimulation and Order		

1	
2	
3	
4	Dated: July 28, 2013 Michelle Harris, Attorney for Petitioner
5	Wichele Harris, Attorney for retitorier
6	
7	Dated: July 25, 2013
8	Richard Helzberg, Attorney for Petitioner
9	It is so ORDERED:
10	It is so ORDERED.
11	Dated: July , 2013
12	Wagistrate Judge James United States District Court, Northern District
13	Of California
14	August 1 Dated: July, 2013 Light D. Light
15	Dated: July, 2013 Magistrate Judge Laporte
16	United States District Court, Northern District Of California
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	